



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,502	09/28/2001	Robert L. Van Egmond	10559-470001	2556
20985	7590	06/13/2007		
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			EXAMINER CHUNG TRANS, XUONG MY	
			ART UNIT 2833	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/13/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/964,502	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VAN EGMOND ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Xuong M. Chung-Trans	<b>Art Unit</b> 2833	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 September 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                 | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                        | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

Art Unit: 2833

1. This application has been examined. Claims 1- 26 are pending in this application.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 3 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Crane et al. (5,899,761).

Crane discloses a network communication housing and a method comprising: a main body; at least one connector 42 mounted onto a surface of the main body; and an easel 80 pivotally coupled to the main body; the easel further comprises at least one serrated edge for accepting a wire or cable; the easel contains at least one hole for accepting at least one wire or cable; the easel further comprises: a stop 82 to prevent the easel from pivoting too far.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2833

5. Claims 2, 8-9 and 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crane.

As per claim 2, Crane discloses at least one networking circuit located inside the main body that is coupled with the at least one connector. Crane does not explicitly disclose electromagnetic interference shielding (EMI) mounted onto the main body. However, the use of shielding mounted onto the main body is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such shielding in order to prevent (EMI).

As per claim 8, Crane discloses the invention substantially as claimed except that the main body has an edge that overlaps an edge of the easel. Crane, however, does disclose that an edge of the easel overlaps an edge of the body. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have either an edge of the body overlaps an edge of the easel the edge of the easel or an edge of the easel overlaps an edge of the body, since the court has held that rearrange parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

As per claim 9, it would have been obvious that a second serrated edge can also be located at a backside of the housing device in which both the first and second serrated edges can accept at least one wire or cable.

As per claims 17-26, Crane discloses a network communication housing comprising: an easel with a first edge and with at least one foot for supporting the main body; a main body pivotally coupled with the easel, at least one connector mounted onto a surface of the main body. Crane does not explicitly disclose that the main body

Art Unit: 2833

has an edge that overlaps an edge of the easel. Crane, however, does disclose that an edge of the easel overlaps an edge of the body. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have either an edge of the body overlaps an edge of the easel the edge of the easel or an edge of the easel overlaps an edge of the body, since the court has held that rearrange parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70. Crane further disclose the easel is comprised of a serrated edge; wherein a wire or cable is coupled with at least one connector and the wire or cable passes over the first edge, wherein the wire or cable passes under the second edge; wherein a wire or cable is coupled with at least one connector and the wire or cable passes through a hole in the easel; wherein a wire or cable is operatively coupled with at least one connector and with at least one computer, and with at least one network; wherein at least one foot is located on the easel at an angle that allows the easel and main body to stand flat on a horizontal surface in an open configuration.

6. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crane as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of in view of Crane (5,906,517).

As per claims 4-5, Crane discloses the invention substantially as claimed except that the at least one serrated edge is comprised of at least one semi-circular notch or at least one "V-shaped" notch. Crane (517) discloses such semi-circular notch.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the easel of the Crane to have at least semi-circular notch or at least one "V-shaped" notch

in order to arrange the wire neatly and prevent entanglement.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xuong M. Chung-Trans whose telephone number is (571) 272-2002. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2800 extension 33.. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Xuong Chung-Trans  
Patent Examiner